

Getting Out: A Godfather Story

October 27, 2018 by Ben Hunt

"Just when I thought I was out, they pull me back in!"



It's one of the most famous quotes in movies, as Michael Corleone rages in *Godfather* III over the assassination he narrowly avoided and his inability to steer the family into legit businesses.

Michael is what I like to call a coyote, someone who is VERY smart and VERY strategic. Actually, too smart and too strategic for his own good, what a Brit would call too clever by half.

That's in sharp contrast to his father, Vito Corleone, who is no less smart and no less strategic, but is somehow far less conniving and far more beloved.

You see this difference in character most clearly in the deaths of Vito and Michael.





How does Vito Corleone die? Playing in his vegetable garden with his grandson. At home. Surrounded by life and laughter and plenty of bottles of Chianti.

Vito got out.



How does Michael Corleone die? Sitting in a stony Sicilian courtyard as two skinny dogs scurry around. Struggling to peel an orange. All dressed up and no place to go. Alone. Utterly alone.

For all his smarts and strategy and cleverness, Michael NEVER got out.

How did Vito get out, while Michael failed? I think it's the whole too-clever-by-half coyote thing. Michael never trusted ANYONE in the way that Vito did. Michael was obsessed with finding the Answer, an impossibility in the game of organized crime. Or the game of markets.

Michael was a maximizer.

Which is another way of saying that, <u>like most coyotes</u>, <u>he wasn't very good at the metagame</u>.

Do you want OUT from the game of markets?

I do.

Am I good at the game? Yeah. Do I enjoy it? Not really. I used to. But ever since Lehman it's been mostly a drag. And that's okay! The game of markets is a means to an end. It's a really big, important game, but it's only one of several big important games within the larger metagame of life and doing.

My goal in *doing* is to have a happy ending. I want the Vito ending, not the Michael ending.

How do we get there? We keep our eye on the prize – the happy ending – and we work backwards. We maintain our vision on the metagame and its outcome even while we play the immediate game.

My goal as an investor is NOT to *maximize* my investment returns or to *maximize* my personal wealth. That's myopic thinking. That's coyote thinking. That's the sort of thinking that ruined Michael.

My goal as an investor is to *minimize my maximum regret* in the metagame. What is that maximum regret? Dying alone. Failing to protect and sustain my pack, both at the most personal level of family and the broadest level of humanity. Minimizing the risk of THAT is what drives my *doing*, in both politics and in markets. I want enough wealth to avoid the bad ending, not the most wealth I can possibly achieve, because going for the most wealth I can possibly achieve actually *increases* the chances of the bad ending.

You will NEVER get out of the immediate game, whether it's the mafia game or the markets game, if you play that game as a maximizer. You will ALWAYS be pulled back in.

And yet, all of our dominant ideas about financial advice – ALL OF THEM – are based on the assumption that we are maximizers. Every bit of Modern Portfolio Theory – ALL OF IT – is based on assumptions of maximization. All of those Big Bank model portfolios that are handed down from on high every month – ALL OF THEM – are based on the assumption that we are maximizers. Worse, all of these ideas about economics and investing aren't just based on the assumption that we ARE maximizers. All of these core ideas about financial advice are based on the narrative that we SHOULD BE maximizers.

The business of financial advice is hurting. We all know that. It's hurting for its practitioners and it's hurting for its clients. I think it's hurting because the narrative of maximization, in both its descriptive and its normative forms, gives particularly poor outcomes when Things Fall Apart. It gives particularly poor outcomes when the gravity of a Three-Body System makes the ground beneath our feet quiver and shake.

In order to survive ... in order to do better for clients ... the business of financial advice

needs a new narrative, one based on what *truly matters* for practitioners and clients alike in a world of profound uncertainty.

What is the new narrative for financial advice?

I think it's regret minimization in the metagame rather than reward maximization in the immediate game.

I think it's <u>Clear Eyes and Full Hearts</u>.

A new narrative isn't just possible. It's necessary. And it's happening.









< Notes from the Diamond #3: Everything Has Its Price





Figaro

By Rusty Guinn | October 23, 2018



They ALL Came in Through the Bathroom Window

By Rusty Guinn | October 23, 2018



Leave a Reply



Cyril

One of my favorite notes – so many good points there

0

2 hours ago



Mark Kahn

In addition to the challenge of changing human nature (if you want to change the goal of financial advice) as I noted in my Tweet on your piece – and from your analogy – the advantage Vito had is that he had a Michael to hand it over to and to protect him in "retirement –" Michael needed a Michael. Vito's advantage was brilliantly adumbrated in one of the best scenes in any movie ever – when Michael thwarts the attempted assassination of the Godfather in the hospital. Also – different times – there was some code – some limits... Read more »

0

31 minutes ago

Disclosures

This commentary is being provided to you as general information only and should not be taken as investment advice. The opinions expressed in these materials represent the personal views of the author(s). It is not investment research or a research recommendation, as it does not constitute substantive research or analysis. Any action that you take as a result of information contained in this document is ultimately your responsibility. Epsilon Theory will not accept liability for any loss or damage, including without limitation to any loss of profit, which may arise directly or indirectly from use of or reliance on such information. Consult your investment advisor before making any investment decisions. It must be noted, that no one can accurately predict the future of the market with certainty or guarantee future investment performance. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results.

Statements in this communication are forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are as of the date of this publication. Actual future results or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, and there is no guarantee that any predictions will come to pass. The views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. Epsilon Theory disclaims any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

This information is neither an offer to sell nor a solicitation of any offer to buy any securities.

This commentary has been prepared without regard to the individual financial circumstances and objectives of persons who receive it. Epsilon Theory recommends that investors independently evaluate particular investments and strategies, and encourages investors to seek the advice of a financial advisor. The appropriateness of a particular investment or strategy will depend on an investor's individual circumstances and objectives.

| 5 | മ | rc | h | • |
|---|----|----|---|---|
| v | Cu | | ı | |

Search ...

Join The Pack

Membership Options
Already a Member? Log-in here