

Nonlocal dielectric relaxation in glycerol

A. A. Pronin,¹ K. Trachenko,^{2,3} M. V. Kondrin,⁴ A. G. Lyapin,⁴ and V. V. Brazhkin⁴

¹*General Physics Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, 38 Vavilov Street, Moscow 119991, Russia*

²*Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England CB2 3EQ, United Kingdom*

³*South East Physics Network and School of Physics, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London, England E1 4NS, United Kingdom*

⁴*Institute for High Pressure Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Troitsk, Moscow 142190, Russia*

(Received 22 March 2011; revised manuscript received 15 June 2011; published 26 July 2011)

Since its introduction, liquid viscosity and relaxation time τ have been considered intrinsic properties of a system that is essentially local in nature and therefore independent of system size. Dielectric relaxation experiments are performed in glycerol, and it is discovered that this is the case at high temperature only. At low temperature, τ increases with system size and becomes nonlocal. We discuss the origin of this effect in the theory based on liquid elasticity length, the length over which local relaxation events in a liquid interact via induced elastic waves. We find good agreement between experiment and theory.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.84.012201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.012201)

PACS number(s): 64.70.pm, 64.70.qj, 66.20.Cy

Viscosity η is the basic property of matter that quantifies its resistance to flow and was defined by Newton as the proportionality coefficient between the shear stress and the velocity gradient. It is commonly used to describe properties of gases, liquids, and even solids, in which flow is often said to “creep.”¹ Viscosity of liquids perhaps stands out in that list, in that the theory of liquids in general is much less developed as compared with other phases of matter, such as solids or gases. For example, it is stated² that, unlike solids and gases, liquids’ most basic property, such as energy, cannot be calculated in a general form. The stated reason is that interactions in a liquid are strong and system-specific, so the energy strongly depends on system type. At the same time, atomic displacements in a liquid are large. Consequently, neither weakness of interactions, as in a gas, nor smallness of atomic displacements, as in a solid, is a small parameter that can be used to obtain general results.²

Similarly, the temperature dependence of an equally basic liquid property, viscosity, has remained another major theoretical hurdle in condensed matter physics. In particular, the behavior of η at low temperature is at the heart of the problem of glass transition.^{3–6}

A property closely related to viscosity is liquid relaxation time τ . It was phenomenologically introduced by Maxwell in the viscoelastic theory of liquid flow as $\eta = G_\infty \tau$, where G_∞ is the instantaneous shear modulus.⁷ Frenkel subsequently identified τ with the average time between two consecutive atomic jumps in a liquid at one point in space.⁸ Larger τ corresponds to less frequent atomic jumps and larger viscosities.

Notably, in both earlier^{7–9} and modern^{3,4,10–13} discussions, it was assumed that the flow property of a liquid, η or τ , is essentially an intrinsic property governed only by the liquid structure and interatomic interactions and therefore is necessarily local. Applied to viscosity, the assertion of locality implies that, as long as there is enough bulk liquid between two moving plates so that surface effects can be ignored, viscosity does not depend on system size. Equally, τ has been considered to be local and independent of system size.^{3,4,7–9,11,13} Consequently, several well-known relationships for η or τ , such as Stokes’ law, the Einstein-Stokes relationship between viscosity and diffusion, and so on, are derived and discussed in the scenario

in which η and τ are intrinsic and local.^{8,9,11,13} The same applies to general Navier-Stokes equations, which are used to derive and discuss a wide range of results and effects in liquids.¹³

In this Brief Report, we perform dielectric relaxation experiments in glycerol and find that τ is independent of system size at high temperature only, but it increases with system size at low temperature; i.e., it becomes essentially nonlocal. We attribute this effect to nonlocal interactions between atomic jumps in a liquid via induced high-frequency elastic waves. We find both qualitative and quantitative agreement between this theory and present experiments.

We first discuss the theoretical rationale for our experiment. We have recently discussed^{14,15} the origin of several anomalous and intriguing relaxation laws in liquids that are at the center of the problem of glass transition, such as the Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann (VFT) law, stretched-exponential relaxation, and dynamic crossovers. An important outcome of this discussion is that relaxation in a liquid necessarily becomes nonlocal due to interaction between atomic jumps. The nonlocality originates as follows.

An elementary flow process in a liquid is the jump of an atom from its surrounding atomic “cage,” accompanied by large-scale rearrangement of the cage atoms. We call this process a local relaxation event (LRE). The duration of a LRE is comparable to the elementary (Debye) vibration period $\tau_0 = 0.1$ ps. On this short time scale (as well as any other time scale that is shorter than τ), the surrounding liquid can be viewed as an elastic medium.⁸ Hence, large atomic motion from an LRE elastically deforms the surrounding liquid, inducing elastic waves. The wave frequency ω is on the order of the Debye frequency, because the wavelength is on the order of interatomic separations. Therefore, $\omega > 1/\tau$ as long as τ exceeds τ_0 , i.e., in the whole range of τ up to $\tau = 10^2$ – 10^3 s at the glass transition temperature. As discussed by Frenkel,⁸ waves with frequency $\omega > 1/\tau$ propagate in a liquid as in a solid. The waves distort cages around other LRE centers in the liquid and therefore affect their relaxation.

Hence, we identified the LRE-induced elastic wave as the physical mechanism mediating interaction in a liquid, and we proposed¹⁴ that this interaction sets the cooperativity of

relaxation, whose origin has been widely discussed but not understood from the physical point of view.^{3–6}

The key question concerns the range of this interaction, or the propagation range of high-frequency elastic waves. As has been discussed in detail,¹⁴ this range is given by

$$d_{el} = c\tau, \quad (1)$$

where c is the speed of sound.

The nontrivial point is that $d_{el} = c\tau$ increases with τ . This is directly opposite to the commonly discussed decay of hydrodynamic waves, whose propagation range varies as $1/\tau$. The difference is due to the solid-like regime of wave propagation, $\omega\tau > 1$, which is qualitatively different from the hydrodynamic regime, $\omega\tau < 1$ (Ref. 8; see Ref. 14 for a detailed discussion). We called d_{el} the liquid elasticity length because it defines the range over which two LREs interact with each other via induced elastic waves. Importantly, $d_{el} = c\tau$ increases with lowered temperature because τ increases. We proposed that this is the key to the emergence of slow relaxation, the VFT law, and dynamic crossovers.^{14,15} In this scenario, the increase of d_{el} and the concomitant cooperativity of relaxation increase the activation barriers for LREs and τ .¹⁴

We note that d_{el} is different from the solidity length l , introduced in Ref. 16. In particular, l was derived not as the propagation range of high-frequency waves in a liquid but as a distance that the sound wave travels without LREs appearing anywhere along its path during time l/c . As a result, l is proportional to $\tau^{1/4}$ [compare with Eq. (1), where $d_{el} \propto \tau$], significantly smaller than d_{el} , and limited by hundreds of nanometers at T_g .¹⁶

As long as $d_{el} < L$, where L is system size, relaxation effects are not affected by system size. On the other hand, when $d_{el} \geq L$ at low temperature, one expects to find that relaxation depends on system size, provided $d_{el} < d_t$. Here, d_t , the phonon thermalization length, is the distance (typically up to several millimeters at room temperature^{17–19}) over which an induced phonon thermalizes due to anharmonicity, with the result that the phonon spectrum of the system becomes the same as in thermal equilibrium. In particular, two important system size effects are immediately predicted by this theory. First, the theory predicts that, when $d_{el} = L$, τ crosses over from the VFT law to more of an Arrhenius dependence, because at this point further increase of d_{el} does not increase the number of interacting LREs in the system.¹⁴ This effect has been observed experimentally in a large number of glass-forming liquids.^{20–22}

Second, the theory predicts that, when $d_{el} \geq L$, τ increases with L and becomes essentially nonlocal. We note that, in the above discussion, τ can be considered nonlocal when $d_{el} < L$ as well, as long as d_{el} exceeds the distance between neighboring LREs. However, experimental verification of the nonlocality of τ is most easily verified by varying L and demonstrating that τ increases with L . The first demonstration of this effect in a macroscopic system was done in a series of Stokes viscosity experiments.²³ Recently, a similar nonlocality of viscosity was found in molecular dynamics simulations.²⁴ We now discuss the results of our dielectric relaxation experiment, supporting the prediction of the size effect.




FIG. 1. (Color online) Schematic experimental setup (inset) and typical dielectric loss spectra of glycerol measured in the larger (circles) and smaller (squares) capacitors at $T = 233$ K.

We have measured τ in glycerol, a commonly studied glass-forming liquid, using a well-known broadband ($10\text{--}10^8$ Hz in the current experiments) dielectric spectroscopy technique in capacitors of two different sizes. Several important experimental conditions need to be met in order to study the size effect. These include ensuring that the temperature difference between the two capacitors, as well as the temperature gradients in each system, are minimized. Next, the liquid in each capacitor should be a closed system and not connected to a liquid of larger size, as can be the case in dielectric relaxation experiments. Furthermore, pressure variations in a closed system related to thermal expansion should be avoided, because they affect τ .

To meet these requirements, we have designed and built the test cell shown in the inset in Fig. 1. The cell contained two switchable capacitors, C1 and C2, both of the same radius $R = 0.75$ mm and different heights $h_1 = 0.2$ mm and $h_2 = 0.02$ mm, respectively. The distance between the capacitor plates is maintained by flat Teflon spacers. Both capacitors were cooled simultaneously. Subsequently, their temperatures were stabilized by a temperature controller with accuracy better than 0.02 K. Due to efficient thermal coupling between the capacitors, the temperature gradient through the test cell was not larger than 0.01 K.

Samples of ultrapure (>99%) glycerol were purchased from ICN Biomedicals. A high sample purity level was additionally checked by refractometry. At each temperature, the liquid was equilibrated during temperature stabilization lasting several minutes, considerably exceeding the stress relaxation time in our temperature range. Frequency dependencies of the impedance of the capacitors $Z(F)$ were measured by a QuadTech 7600 precision LCR meter to be below 1 MHz and by a Hewlett Packard HP4191A reflectometer to be above 1 MHz. Typical dielectric loss spectra at $T = 233$ K, where the maximum value of $F_m = 1/\tau$ is in the kilohertz range, are shown in Fig. 1.




FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) τ in large (upper symbols) and small (lower symbols) capacitors. The horizontal long-dashed line corresponds to τ , above which the size effect is predicted to exist. (b) $\log(\tau_1) - \log(\tau_2)$, where τ_1 and τ_2 are relaxation times in large and small capacitors, respectively.

In Fig. 2, we plot τ for both capacitors as a function of temperature, together with $\log(\tau_1) - \log(\tau_2)$, where τ_1 and τ_2 are relaxation times in large and small capacitors, respectively. Figure 2 makes three important points about the predicted size effect.

First, the size effect is absent at high temperature, 300 K. According to Fig. 2(a), this temperature corresponds to $\tau \lesssim 10^{-8}$ s, and hence $d_{el} \lesssim 0.02$ mm ($c \approx 2000$ m/s for glycerol). Therefore, d_{el} is just smaller than the smallest dimension of both capacitors ($h_2 = 0.02$ mm). According to the theoretical prediction, τ should be the same in both systems, i.e., $\tau_1 = \tau_2$, in agreement with Fig. 2(b).

Second, we observe that the size effect is present at low temperature, below 250 K. At 250 K, $\tau \approx 10^{-6}$ s [see Fig. 2(a)], giving us $d_{el} \approx 2$ mm. Therefore, d_{el} is larger than the largest dimension of both capacitors below 250 K. According to theoretical prediction, τ should be larger in the larger system in this temperature range: $\tau_1 > \tau_2$. This is in agreement with the experimental results in Fig. 2(b).

Third, we calculate the magnitude of the size effect from the theory. According to the theory,¹⁴ the activation barrier for

an LRE, U , is

$$U = U_0 + \frac{T_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{dV}{r^3}. \quad (2)$$

The first term in Eq. (2), U_0 , is the high-temperature intrinsic, or noncooperative, activation barrier for an LRE, which depends on the structure and the type of interactions in a liquid but not on the interaction with other LREs. The second term describes the effect of an elastic interaction between LREs. Here, T_0 is the VFT temperature, and $1/r^3$ represents the decay of elastic stress. The integration is performed over the volume inside the system boundaries if $d_{el} \geq L$ and inside d_{el} if $d_{el} < L$. We note that, if $d_{el} < L$, U is temperature-dependent, because it depends on d_{el} and therefore on τ , giving us the VFT law for τ .¹⁴ The lower integration limit in Eq. (2) is given by the size of a local rearranging region d_0 ($d_0 \approx 10$ Å).

Integrating in cylindrical coordinates gives

$$U = U_0 + \frac{T_0}{2} \ln \frac{2hR}{d_0(h + \sqrt{h^2 + R^2})}, \quad (3)$$

where we have taken into account that $d_0 \ll h_1, h_2, R$.

We define the magnitude of the size effect Δ as $\Delta = \tau_1/\tau_2$, where τ_1 and τ_2 are relaxation times in larger and smaller capacitors, respectively. Using Eq. (3) and $\ln(\tau) = \ln(\tau_0) + U/T$, where τ_0 is the Debye vibration period, we write

$$\ln \Delta = \frac{T_0}{2T} \ln \left(\frac{h_1 h_2 + \sqrt{h_2^2 + R^2}}{h_2 h_1 + \sqrt{h_1^2 + R^2}} \right). \quad (4)$$

In the low-temperature range, where the size effect is seen in Fig. 2(b) (208–253 K), Eq. (4) gives $\Delta = 1.7$ – 1.9 , where we have used $T_0 = 135$ K (Ref. 25) and the above values of h_1 , h_2 , and R . The experimental values of Δ from Fig. 2(b) are in the range 1.2–1.3. Therefore, the theoretical prediction of the size effect is in reasonable agreement with the experimental value, providing, importantly, a correct order of magnitude of the effect. We observe that τ_1 and τ_2 themselves vary by many orders of magnitude in the above temperature range [see Fig. 2(a)]; hence, a reasonably good agreement of τ_1/τ_2 between theory and experiment is particularly encouraging.

We note that Eq. (4) predicts the decrease of Δ with temperature. Consistent with this trend, there is a decreasing trend of Δ in Fig. 2(b), despite the larger error bars at the boundaries of the low-temperature range, because the errors in the middle of the range were smaller in our experiments.

We attribute the higher theoretical value of Δ to the decay of LRE-induced waves due to anharmonicity.^{17–19} This decay is not considered in the theory, and it leads to the reduction of the wave amplitude within d_{el} and therefore the weakening of the size effect.

We finally note that T_g decreases, compared with the bulk values, in liquids confined to nanoscale pores as well as in thin polymer films, implying that τ becomes smaller in these systems.²⁶ This effect is therefore consistent with our theory. However, as reviewed in Ref. 26 in detail, it remains unclear whether this is an intrinsic effect and to what extent the observed size effects are related to extrinsic factors, such as the interaction between the liquid and the surface, particularly important in small systems. Our results, on the other hand,

are done on essentially macroscopic samples, for which the above extrinsic effects are expected to be small. Our results therefore support the existence of a genuine intrinsic size effect in liquids, in accordance with our recent theory.

In summary, our dielectric relaxation experiments show that the relaxation time of glycerol increases with system size at low temperature, implying that τ (and, similarly, viscosity) becomes nonlocal. We propose that the origin of this unexpected behavior is the long-range elastic interaction

between LREs, whose range increases with lower temperatures and extends to system size. The results of our experiments are in good qualitative and quantitative agreement with theoretical predictions.

We are grateful to R. Casalini, C. M. Roland, M. T. Dove, and V. Heine for discussions and to the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council for support.

-
- ¹E. Orowan, in *Proceedings of the First National Congress of Applied Mechanics* (American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, 1952) pp. 453–472.
- ²L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics* (Nauka, Moscow, 1964).
- ³J. C. Dyre, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78**, 953 (2006).
- ⁴C. A. Angell, K. L. Ngai, G. B. McKenna, P. F. McMillan, and S. W. Martin, *J. Appl. Phys.* **88**, 3113 (2000).
- ⁵J. Langer, *Phys. Today* **60**, 8 (2007).
- ⁶K. L. Ngai, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **353**, 709 (2007).
- ⁷J. C. Maxwell, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London* **157**, 49 (1867).
- ⁸J. Frenkel, in *Kinetic Theory of Liquids*, edited by R. H. Fowler, P. Kapitza, and N. F. Mott (Oxford University Press, Oxford, England, 1947).
- ⁹H. Eyring, *J. Chem. Phys.* **4**, 283 (1936).
- ¹⁰R. Zwanzig, *Non-Equilibrium Statistical Mechanics* (Oxford University Press, New York, 2001).
- ¹¹J. P. Hansen and I. R. McDonald, *Theory of Simple Liquids* (Elsevier, New York, 2007).
- ¹²L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Theory of Elasticity* (Pergamon, New York, 1986).
- ¹³L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics* (Nauka, Moscow, 1988).
- ¹⁴K. Trachenko and V. V. Brazhkin, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **21**, 425104 (2009).
- ¹⁵K. Trachenko, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 212201 (2007).
- ¹⁶J. C. Dyre, *Phys. Rev. E* **59**, 2458 (1999).
- ¹⁷W. E. Bron, *Phys. Rev. B* **21**, 2627 (1980).
- ¹⁸A. V. Akimov, A. A. Kaplyansky, and A. L. Syrkin, *JETP Letters* (in Russian) **33**, 410 (1981).
- ¹⁹A. A. Kaplyansky, *Phys. Usp.* **134**, 168 (1981).
- ²⁰C. M. Roland, *Soft Matter* **4**, 2316 (2008).
- ²¹F. Stickel, E. W. Fischer, and R. Richert, *J. Chem. Phys.* **104**, 2043 (1996).
- ²²C. Hansen, F. Stickel, R. Richert, and E. W. Fischer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **108**, 6408 (1998).
- ²³K. Trachenko and V. V. Brazhkin, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **20**, 075103 (2008).
- ²⁴V. A. Levashov, J. R. Morris, and T. Egami, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 115703 (2011).
- ²⁵C. A. Angell and D. L. Smith, *J. Phys. Chem.* **86**, 3845 (1982).
- ²⁶M. Alcoutlabi and G. B. McKenna, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **17**, R461 (2005).